## Exhibit 1.0 - Financial Ratio Comparison

Purpose: To compare NPF's financial ratios to industry benchmarks.

| Industry benchmarks | Formula | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | Industry average | Trend | Industry Comparison |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual revenue growth rate | (CY Total Revenue - PY Total Revenue) / PY Total Revenue | -15.4\% | 4.3\% | N/A | 4.1\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| Current ratio | Current Assets / Current Liabilities | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.50 | Decreasing | Lower |
| Total debt to assets | Total liabilities / Total Assets | 46.8\% | 49.2\% | 55.4\% | 60.0\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| Debt-to-equity | Total liabilities / Total Shareholders' Equity | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | N/A | Decreasing | N/A |
| Return on assets | Net income / Average Total Assets | 6.5\% | 18.4\% | N/A | 7.4\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| Return on equity | Net income / Average Total Shareholders' Equity | 12.5\% | 38.2\% | N/A | 28.2\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| EBITDA margin | EBITDA (Operating Income + Depreciation) / Total Revenues | 15.3\% | 20.7\% | 15.2\% | 18.1\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| Operating margin Cost of goods sold as a | Operating Income / Total Revenues | 7.9\% | 15.5\% | 10.3\% | 15.8\% | Decreasing | Lower |
| percentage of revenues (Note 1) | COGS / Total Revenues | 79.9\% | 75.5\% | 79.4\% | 76.0\% | Increasing | Higher |
| Selling and administration costs as a \% of revenues | Selling and Admin Costs / Total Revenues | 10.8\% | 9.4\% | 9.3\% | 9.3\% | Increasing | Higher |
| Net profit margin | Net Income / Total Revenues | 4.7\% | 9.6\% | 6.1\% | 8.6\% | Decreasing | Lower |

## Note 1

Cost of goods sold include the following expenses from NPF's income statement: harvesting and procurement, processing costs, warehousing and shipping, and depreciation.

Exhibit 1.1: Discounted Cash Flow to value TSF \| To determine the value of Tillyton Salmon Farms Ltd. (TSF) All values in $\mathbf{C} \$ 000$ s

|  | Note | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | Terminal (4.1\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Production (million kgs) | 1 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |
| Selling price per kg (Provided) |  | \$8.09 | \$8.09 | \$8.09 | \$8.09 | \$8.09 | \$8.09 | \$8.09 |  |
| Total Revenue |  | 80,900 | 121,350 | 145,620 | 177,980 | 202,250 | 242,700 | 242,700 |  |
| Farming costs | 2 | 67,500 | 101,250 | 121,500 | 148,500 | 168,750 | 202,500 | 202,500 |  |
| Other expenses | 3 | 11,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |
| Total expenses |  | 78,500 | 113,250 | 134,500 | 162,500 | 183,750 | 217,500 | 217,500 |  |
| Projected EBITDA (rev- expenses) |  | 2,400 | 8,100 | 11,120 | 15,480 | 18,500 | 25,200 | 25,200 |  |
| Tax (30\%) |  | 720 | 2430 | 3336 | 4644 | 5550 | 7560 | 7560 |  |
| Cash flow from operations |  | 1,680 | 5,670 | 7,784 | 10,836 | 12,950 | 17,640 | 17,640 |  |
| Less: Net capital investment, net of tax shield | 5 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |
| Less: Working capital adjustment | 6 | 3,375 | 2,025 | 2,700 | 2,025 | 3,375 |  |  |  |
| Discretionary Cash Flow | 7 | -3,695 | 1,645 | 3,084 | 5,811 | 6,575 | 14,640 | 14,640 | 15,240 |
| Capitalization Rate | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101,602 |
| Present value factor (15\%) | 9 | 1.07 | 1.23 | 1.42 | 1.63 | 1.88 | 2.16 | 2.48 | 2.48 |
| Discounted cashflow at 15\% |  | -3,446 | 1,334 | 2,175 | 3,563 | 3,506 | 6,787 | 5,902 | 40,961 |
| PV of discretionary cash flows |  | 60,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV of existing tax shield |  | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enterprise value |  | 60,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less interest bearing debt |  | -15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Value |  | 45,781 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% share (45,781 $\times 30 \%$ ) |  | \$13,734 |  |  | 30\% of TSF's Equity |  |  | 13,734 [A] |  |
| Purchase Price |  | \$10,000 |  |  | FMV of TSF's Net Assets$30 \%$ of FMV Net Assets |  |  | 33,348 |  |
| 30\% of TSF's Equity |  | \$13,734 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,004 |  |
| Premium (Discount) |  | -\$3,734 |  |  | Goodwill |  |  | 3,730 [A] - [B] |  |

Conclusion: The total value of TSF is $\$ 45,781$. NPF will be purchasing $30 \%$ of the company which is valued at $\$ 13,734$. Based on this, NPF would be purchasing TSF at a discount of $\$ 3,734$ since the purchase price is less than the equity value.

If NPF invests in TSF, there will be goodwill of $\$ 3730$ based on the comparison of the equity value and FMV of TSF's net asset.

## Exhibit 1.1 (Continued)

## Notes:

1: The annual production volume has already been adjusted for a survival rate of $90 \%$.
2: Farming costs are estimated at $\$ 6.75 / \mathrm{kg}$ of production, given
3: Other expense are $\$ 11 \mathrm{~m}$ for 2024 (given) and increase by $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ until $\$ 15 \mathrm{~m}$, remains constant over the upcoming years,
4: Depreciation is a non cash item and therefore must be added back to calculate the cash flow from operations
5: Net Capital investment (net of tax shield) is $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ until 2026, thereafter increases to $\$ 3 \mathrm{~m}$
6: The working capital investment is calculate at $10 \%$ of next period's incremental farming costs until the production reaches 30 m Kgs

Annual production
Farming Costs
Incremental Farming cost

| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| 67,500 | 101,250 | 121,500 | 148,500 | 168,750 | 202,500 |
|  | 33,750 | 20,250 | 27,000 | 20,250 | 33,750 |
| 3,375 | 2,025 | 2,700 | 2,025 | 3,375 |  |

7: Terminal Growth - is determined based on a $4.1 \%$ industry growth rate average.
2030 Cash flow
$\$ 14,640$
Add: Growth Rate: 4.1\%
$\$ 600$
Terminal Growth $\quad$ \$15,240
8: The capitalization rate is based on the discount rate and growth rate
Discount Rate:
15.00\% Given Industry
Less: Annual Revenue growth rate
Capitalization Rate
0\% Average

Multiplier = $1 / 15 \%=$
6.67

The discount rate given is used to calculate the capitalization and the multiplier. No adjustments are made so to correctly reflect the risk involved and not to overstate the equity value of TSF
9: The discount rate is $15 \%$ based on the level of risk associated with the investment, given. The time period starts with 0.5 year as we are discounting half year (July to Dec), will therefore continue to $1.5,2.5$ and so on.

Future values discounted by

| 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1+0.15) | (1+0.15) | (1+0.15) | $(1+0.15)^{\wedge}$ | $(1+0.15)^{\wedge}$ | $(1+0.15)^{\wedge}$ |
| 0.5 | $\wedge 1.5$ | $\wedge 2.5$ | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 |

10: NPF will be buying out Irene Waterford who currently owns $30 \%$ in TSF.

Exhibit 1.2-Key Financial Objectives | To determine if key objectives are met under the TSF investment proposal - \$ in (000's)

## Key Financial Objective <br> Met?

1) Annual revenue growth of $10 \%$ by 2026

No
2) 3-year average EBITDA margin to $18 \%$ by 2026

No
Conclusion: NPF will not meet both their key financial objectives if they invest in TSF.
Objective 1: Annual growth rate of 10\% for total revenues by 2026

|  | Notes | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NPF Revenue | $\mathbf{1}$ | 32,565 | 33,900 | 35,290 |
| 30\% of TSF revenue | $\mathbf{2}$ | - | - | - |
| Total Combined Revenue |  | 32,565 | 33,900 | 35,290 |
| Revenue Growth |  |  | $\mathbf{4 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 0 \%}$ |

## Notes:

1. Assuming NPF's 2023 revenue grows at industry average of $4.1 \%$
2. TSF's revenue will have no impact on NPF's total revenues; $30 \%$ of TSF's profits will be recorded as a separate line item on NPF's income statement as Equity Income.

## Objective 2: Increase the three-year average EBITDA margin to 18\%

|  | Notes | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPF EBITDA | 1 | 4,986 | 4,986 | 4,986 |
| TSF's EBITDA | 2 | 2,400 | 8,100 | 11,120 |
| Total EBITDA | 3 | 7,386 | 13,086 | 16,106 |
|  |  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| NPF's sales | 4 | 32,565 | 33,900 | 35,290 |
| TSF's sales | 5 | 80,900 | 121,350 | 145,620 |
| Total Sales | 6 | 113,465 | 155,250 | 180,910 |
| EBITDA Margin 7 <br> 3 Year Average EBITDA Margin \% (2024-2026)  |  | 6.51\% | 8.43\% | 8.90\% |
|  |  |  |  | 7.95\% |

## Notes:

1. Assume NPF's 2023 EBITDA resumes constant YOY.

Operating income

| 2023 |
| ---: |
| 2,558 |
| 2,428 |
| $\mathbf{4 , 9 8 6}$ |

NPF - EBITDA
4,986
2. TSF's EBITDA is from Exhibit 1.1 Valuation - Line: Projected EBITDA
3. Total EBITDA is the total of NPF and TSF's EBITDA
4. Per Audited 2023 FS - assumed to grow by industry average of $4.1 \%$
5. TSF sales is calculated in Exhibit 1.1 Valuation - Line: Total Revenue
6. Total Sales is the total of NPF and TSF's sales
7. EBITDA Margin for each year is calculated by dividing the total EBITDA by total sales.

## Exhibit 1.3 - Debt-to-Assets Ratio

Purpose: To determine the maximum amount of debt financing to ensure the debt-to-assets ratio remain under $60 \%$ if NPF acquires $30 \%$ of TSF (C $\$ 000$ 's).

| Maximum debt financing |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Investment in TSF | Per Exhibit 2.1 | 10,000 |  |  |
| NPF Total Assets | Per 2023 FS | $\underline{24,439}$ |  |  |
| Total Consolidated Assets |  | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 3 9}$ | Total available debt financing: |  |
|  |  |  | Term loan (Note 2) | 8,000 |
| Maximum additional debt to borrow | Note 1 | 9,233 | Equity (Note 2) | 5,000 |
| NPF Total Debt | Per 2023 FS | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 3 0}$ |  | 13,000 |
| Total Consolidated Debt |  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 6 6 3}$ | Initial investment | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Debt-to-assets ratio | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | Excess | 3,000 |  |

## Conclusion:

To ensure the debt-to-assets ratio does not exceed $60 \%$, NPF can only borrow $\$ 9,233$ maximum from its debt financing resources to finance the investment of $30 \%$ of TSF. With $\$ 8,000$ term loan and $\$ 5,000$ equity, NPF's has sufficient resource for the $\$ 10,000$ total investment.

## Note 1

The maximum debt NPF can have $=$ Total consolidated assets $\times 60 \%$ debt-to-assets ratio constraint
Total consolidated assets
34,439
Debt-to-Assets ratio constraint
Maximum debt NPF can have
NPF total debt per 2023 FS
60\% 11,430
9,233
*Assumption: TSF's $30 \%$ ownership will be acquired in 2024, and NPF's current total assets and liabilities will not change.

## Note 2

Total financing available is include of $\$ 8,000$ term loan and $\$ 5,000$ preferred shares.

| Exhibit 2.1-NPV of Cold Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purpose: To provide the Net Present Value of the Cold Storage facility proposal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Notes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 0 \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | Year 1 $2025$ | Year 2 $2026$ | Year 3 $2027$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 4 \\ 2028 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 5 \\ 2029 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 6 \\ 2030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 7 \\ 2031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 8 \\ 2031 \end{gathered}$ |
| Initial Investment (Given) | 1 | $(8,250)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV Tax Shields | 2 | 941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 3 |  | 4,190 | 4,977 | 5,765 | 6,552 | 7,340 | 8,127 | 8,127 | 8,127 |
| Labour Costs (40\% Total Rev) |  |  | $(1,676)$ | $(1,991)$ | $(2,306)$ | $(2,621)$ | $(2,936)$ | $(3,251)$ | $(3,251)$ | $(3,251)$ |
| Admin Costs (3\% Inc./Yr |  |  | (100) | (103) | (106) | (109) | (113) | (116) | (119) | (123) |
| Admin Benefits (25\% Admin Cost) |  |  | (25) | (26) | (27) | (27) | (28) | (29) | (30) | (31) |
| Power Exp (12\% Storage Rev) |  |  | (223) | (265) | (307) | (349) | (391) | (433) | (433) | (433) |
| Other Costs (5\% Inc. / Yr) |  |  | (450) | (473) | (496) | (521) | (547) | (574) | (603) | (633) |
| Other Service Costs (3\% Inc / Yr) |  |  | (550) | (567) | (583) | (601) | (619) | (638) | (657) | (676) |
| CCA - Equipment (20\%) | 4 |  | (200) | (360) | (288) | (230) | (184) | (147) | (118) | (94) |
| CCA - Building (6\%) | 5 |  | (150) | (291) | (274) | (257) | (201) | (189) | (178) | (167) |
| PV Lost Tax Shield - Building | 6 | 675 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PV Lost Tax Shield - Land | 7 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 750 |
| Net Income |  | $(6,634)$ | 815 | 902 | 1,377 | 1,836 | 2,320 | 2,749 | 2,738 | 3,468 |
| Income Tax (30\%) |  | 1,990 | (245) | (271) | (413) | (551) | (696) | (825) | (821) | $(1,040)$ |
| Net Income after Tax |  | $(4,644)$ | 571 | 631 | 964 | 1,285 | 1,624 | 1,925 | 1,917 | 2,428 |
| Add back CCA |  |  | 350 | 651 | 562 | 488 | 386 | 337 | 296 | 261 |
| After Tax Cash |  | $(4,644)$ | 921 | 1,282 | 1,526 | 1,773 | 2,010 | 2,261 | 2,212 | 2,689 |
| Discount Factor (10\%) |  |  | 0.91 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.47 |
| SUM of Discounted Cash Flows |  | 7,172 | 837 | 522 | 724 | 878 | 1,008 | 1,086 | 984 | 1,132 |
| NPV |  | 2,528 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA as Percentage |  |  | 27.81\% | 31.20\% | 33.64\% | 35.46\% | 36.86\% | 37.97\% | 37.33\% | 45.89\% |

Conclusion: The net present value of the Cold Storage facility proposal is $\$ 2,528$. Therefore, this appears to be a viable investment for NPF.

## Exhibit 2.1-NPV of Cold Storage (Continued)

| Note 1 |  |  | Note 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Investment | Year 0 |  | PV Building | Tax Shie |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital (Given - Appendix VI) | \$ 250 |  | 6,000 0 | $06 \times 0.30$ | x | $\underline{-1.5 \times 0.10}$ | = | \$ | 706 |
| Building (Given - Appendix VI) | \$ 6,000 |  | 0.06 | 0.10 |  | $1+0.10$ |  |  |  |
| Equipment (Given - Appendix VI) | \$ 2,000 |  | PV Equipm | ent Tax Sh | eld $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | \$ 8,250 |  | 2,000 0 | $20 \times 0.30$ | x | $\underline{-1.5 \times 0.10}$ | = | \$ | 235 |
|  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.10 |  | $1+0.10$ |  |  |  |
| Note 3 Revenue Totals | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 |  | r 8 |
| Storage Revenue (75\% Cap) | \$ 1,862 | \$ 2,212 | \$ 2,562 | \$ 2,912 | \$ 3,262 | \$ 3,612 | 3,612 | \$ | 3,612 |
| Warehouse Rev. (125\% of Storage Rev.) | \$ 2,328 | \$ 2,765 | \$ 3,203 | \$ 3,640 | \$ 4,078 | \$ 4,515 | \$ 4,515 | \$ | ,515 |
| Note 4 CCA - Equipment (20\%) | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 |  | r 8 |
| Value - \$ 2,000 | \$ 200 | \$ 360 | \$ 288 | \$ 230 | \$ 184 | \$ 147 | \$ 118 | \$ | 94 |
| Note 5 CCA - Building (6\%) | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 |  | r 8 |
| Value - \$6,000 | \$ 150 | \$ 291 | \$ 274 | \$ 257 | \$ 201 | \$ 189 | \$ 178 | \$ | 167 |
| Note 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV Lost Tax Shield - Building | 6,000 $\times 6$ | 6\% x 30\% | = | \$ 675.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6\% + | + 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV Lost Tax Shield - Land | 750 | *Salvage | Value Given |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Exhibit 2.2-Key Financial Objectives
Purpose: To determine if NPF's key financial objectives are met under the Cold Storage proposal by 2026 (in C\$000s).

| Key Financial Objective | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ Y r ~ A v g ~}$ | Result |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Annual revenue growth of 10\% by 2026 | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $15.82 \%$ | N/A | Met |
| 2) Increase the three-year average EBITDA margin | $0.00 \%$ | $27.81 \%$ | $31.20 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 7 \%}$ | Met |
| to 18\% by 2026 |  |  | Per Exhibit 2.1 |  |  |

[^0]Exhibit 2.3 - Debt-to-Assets Ratio
To determine the maximum amount of debt financing to ensure the debt-to-assets ratio will remain under $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ if NPF invest in cold storage facility in ( $\mathbf{C} \$ 000$ 's).

| Maximum debt financing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial investments | Per Exhibit 2.1 | 8,250 |
| NPF Total Assets | Per 2023 FS | 24,439 |
| Total Consolidated Assets |  | 32,689 |
| Maximum additional debt to borrow | Note 1 | 8,183 |
| NPF Total Debt | Per 2023 FS | 11,430 |
| Total Consolidated Debt |  | 19,613 |
|  | Debt-to-assets ratio | 60\% |


| Total available debt financing: |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Term loan (Note 2) | 8,000 |
| Line of credit (Note 2) | 183 |
| Cash in hand (2023 FS) | 302 |
| Initial investment | 8,485 |
| Excess | 8,250 |

## Conclusion:

To ensure the debt-to-assets ratio does not exceed $60 \%$, NPF can only borrow $\$ 8,183$ maximum from its debt financing resources to finance the initial investment of cold storage facility. With $\$ 302$ cash on hand, NPF's has sufficient resource for the $\$ 8,250$ total investment.

Note 1
The maximum debt NPF can have $=$ Total consolidated assets $\times 60 \%$ debt-to-assets ratio constraint
Total consolidated assets 32,689
Debt-to-Assets ratio constraint 60\%
Maximum debt NPF can have 19,613
NPF total debt per 2023 FS 11,430
Maximum additional debt to borrow
8,183
*Assumption: Cold storage facility will be invested in 2024, and NPF's current total assets and liabilities will not change.
Note 2
Total debt financing available is include of $\$ 8,000$ (term loan) and $\$ 975$ ( $\$ 2,000$ line of credit - $\$ 1,025$ used). Only $\$ 8,183$ can be borrowed from these two resources.
*Assumption: Line of credit will remain at lease $\$ 183$ unused.

Exhibit 3.1 - Net Present Value for Retail Locations (All Stores Combined)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 1 \text { - } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 2 \text { - } \\ 2025 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 3 \text { - } \\ 2026 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 4 \text { - } \\ & 2027 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 5 \text { - } \\ & 2028 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 6 \text { - } \\ & 2029 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 7 \text { - } \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ear } 8 \text { - } \\ & 2031 \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment purchase | -\$ | 1,000 | -\$ | 1,000 | -\$ | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1m/store |
| PV tax shield | \$ | 309 | \$ | 309 | \$ | 309 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Leasehold improvements | -\$ | 750 | -\$ | 750 | -\$ | 750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$750k/store |
| One time expenditure | -\$ | 100 | -\$ | 100 | -\$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100k/store |
| Revenues | \$ | 1,600 | \$ | 5,700 | \$ | 12,500 | \$ | 16,500 |  | 17,325 |  | 18,191 | \$ | 19,101 |  | 20,056 | 2 |
| COGS | -\$ | 944 | -\$ | 3,363 | -\$ | 7,375 | -\$ | 9,735 |  | 10,222 |  | 10,733 | -\$ | 11,269 |  | 11,833 | 59\% of revenues |
| Wages | -\$ | 270 | -\$ | 684 | -\$ | 1,375 | -\$ | 1,650 | -\$ | 1,650 | -\$ | 1,650 | -\$ | 1,650 | -\$ | 1,650 | 3 |
| Marketing costs | -\$ | 60 | -\$ | 170 | -\$ | 270 | -\$ | 310 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | 4 |
| Rent | -\$ | 45 | -\$ | 140 | -\$ | 245 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | -\$ | 300 | 5 |
| Utilities | -\$ | 54 | -\$ | 162 | -\$ | 270 | -\$ | 324 | -\$ | 324 | -\$ | 324 | -\$ | 324 | -\$ | 324 | \$108k/yr per store |
| Other expenses | -\$ | 45 | -\$ | 120 | -\$ | 195 | -\$ | 225 | -\$ | 225 | -\$ | 225 | -\$ | 225 | -\$ | 225 | 6 |
|  | -\$ | 1,359 | -\$ | 480 | \$ | 1,229 | \$ | 3,956 | \$ | 4,304 | \$ | 4,659 | \$ | 5,032 | \$ | 5,424 |  |
| Income taxes (30\%) | \$ | 55 | \$ | 318 | -\$ | 831 | -\$ | 1,187 | -\$ | 1,291 | -\$ | 1,398 | -\$ | 1,510 | -\$ | 1,627 |  |
| After tax cash flows | -\$ | 1,304 | -\$ | 162 | \$ | 398 | \$ | 2,769 | \$ | 3,013 | \$ | 3,262 | \$ | 3,523 | \$ | 3,797 |  |
| NPV (12\% discount rate) | \$ | 7,239 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: The NPV of the retail store proposal is $\$ 7.2$ million, which means that is a viable option from a financial perspective.

## Notes:

1. Tax shield on equipment $=((1000 * 20 \% * 30 \%) /(20 \%+12 \%))^{*}((1+0.5 * 12 \%) /(1+12 \%))$

CCA rate on leasehold improvements = Assuming 15\% and 5 year lease renewal term
Tax shield on leasehold improvements $=((750 * 15 \% * 30 \%) /(15 \%+12 \%))^{*}((1+1.5 * 12 \%) /(1+12 \%))$

6. $\$ 45 \mathrm{k}$ for first six months and then $\$ 75 \mathrm{k}$ annually per store

Exhibit 3.2-Cash Flow Projection for Retail Locations (All Stores Combined)
Purpose: To create a cash flow projection for the seafood retail locations proposal (in C\$'000s).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 1 \text { - } \\ 2024 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 2 \text { - } \\ 2025 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 3 \\ 2026 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 4 \text { - } \\ 2027 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year 5 } \\ 2028 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 6- \\ 2029 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 7 \\ 2030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 8 \text { - } \\ 2031 \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBITDA | \$ 182 | \$ 1,061 | \$ 2,770 | \$ 3,956 | \$4,304 | \$4,659 | \$ 5,032 | \$5,424 | 1 |
| Depreciation | -\$ 175 | -\$ 363 | -\$ 518 | -\$ 546 | -\$ 476 | -\$ 431 | -\$ 375 | -\$ 330 | 2 |
| Earnings before tax | \$ 7 | \$ 698 | \$ 2,252 | \$ 3,410 | \$ 3,828 | \$4,228 | \$4,657 | \$5,094 |  |
| Income tax (30\%) | -\$ 2 | -\$ 209 | -\$ 676 | -\$ 1,023 | -\$ 1,148 | -\$ 1,268 | -\$ 1,397 | -\$ 1,528 |  |
| Equipment purchase | -\$ 1,000 | -\$ 1,000 | -\$ 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1m/store |
| Leasehold improvements | -\$ 750 | -\$ 750 | -\$ 750 |  |  |  |  |  | \$750k/store |
| Add back depreciation | \$ 175 | \$ 363 | \$ 518 | \$ 546 | \$ 476 | \$ 431 | \$ 375 | \$ 330 | Non-cash expenditure |
| Net cash flow | -\$ 1,570 | -\$ 898 | \$ 344 | \$ 2,933 | \$ 3,156 | \$ 3,391 | \$ 3,635 | \$3,896 |  |

Conclusion: NPF will have negative cash flows in the first two years and positive cash flows thereafter.

## Notes:

1. Revenues less COGS, wages, marketing costs, rent, utilities, and other expenses. See Exhibit 3.1 notes for detailed calculations.
2. Assuming CCA is used as depreciation. See calculations below.

| Class 8 Assets | Year 1 - $2024$ | Year 2 $2025$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Year } 3 \\ 2026 \end{array}$ | Year 4 2027 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 5- \\ 2028 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 6 \text { - } \\ 2029 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 7- \\ 2030 \end{gathered}$ | Year 8 - <br> 2031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opening UCC | \$ | \$ 900 | \$1,620 | \$2,196 | \$ 1,757 | \$ 1,405 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 899 |
| Additions | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$1,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Disposals | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Half year rule | -\$ 500 | -\$ 500 | -\$ 500 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Base amount for CCA | \$ 500 | \$1,400 | \$2,120 | \$2,196 | \$1,757 | \$1,405 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 899 |
| CCA @ 20\% | -\$ 100 | -\$ 280 | -\$ 424 | -\$ 439 | -\$ 351 | -\$ 281 | -\$ 225 | -\$ 180 |
| Half year rule | \$ 500 | \$ 500 | \$ 500 | \$ | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending UCC | \$ 900 | \$ 1,620 | \$2,196 | \$ 1,757 | \$1,405 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 899 | \$ 720 |
| Class 13 CCA | $2024$ | $2025$ | $\begin{gathered} 2026 \\ 94 \end{gathered}$ | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 |
|  | 75 |  | 94 | 107 | 125 |  | 150 | 150 |

*No accelerated investment incentive after 2023.

## Exhibit 3.3-Key Financial Objectives

Purpose: To determine if NPF's key financial objectives are met under the seafood retail store proposal by 2026 (in C\$'000s).
Key Financial Objectives

1) Achieve annual revenue growth of $10 \%$ by 2026.

MET
2) Increase the three-year average EBITDA margin to 18\% by 2026.

NOT MET
Conclusion: NPF will only meet one of its financial objectives if it opens the three retail stores.
Objective 1: Annual revenue growth of 10\% by 2026

|  |  | 2024 | 2025 |  | 2026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPF Revenue | \$ | 32,565 | \$ 32,565 | \$ | 32,565 | 1 |
| Retail Store Revenue | \$ | 1,600 | \$ 5,700 |  | 12,500 | 2 |
| Total Combined Revenue | \$ | 34,165 | \$ 38,265 |  | 45,065 |  |
| Revenue Growth |  |  | 12.0\% |  | 17.8\% | 3 |
| Conclusion: The annual revenue growth rate is above 10\% in both 2025 and 2026. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Objective 2: Increase the three-year average EBITDA margin to 18\% by 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NPF's EBITDA Margin |  | 2024 | 2025 |  | 2026 |  |
| EBITDA | \$ | 4,986 | \$ 4,986 | \$ | 4,986 | 4 |
| Sales | \$ | 32,565 | \$ 32,565 | \$ | 32,565 | 5 |
| EBITDA Margin |  | 15.31\% | 15.31\% |  | 15.31\% | 6 |


| Retail Store EBITDA Margin |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ Notes | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| EBITDA | $\$$ | 182 | $\$$ | 1,061 | $\$$ | 2,770 |
| Sales | $\$$ | 1,600 | $\$$ | 5,700 | $\$ 12,500$ | 2 |
| EBITDA Margin |  | $11.38 \%$ | $18.61 \%$ | $22.16 \%$ | 6 |  |

Three-year average EBITDA for NPF and retail stores

|  | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | 2026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined EBITDA | \$ | 5,168 | \$ 6,047 | \$ | 7,756 |
| Combined Sales | \$ | 34,165 | \$ 38,265 | \$ | 45,065 |
| Combined EBITDA Margin |  | 15.13\% | 15.80\% |  | 17.21\% |
| Three-year average |  | 16.05\% | (B30+C30+ | D3 | )/3 |

Conclusion: The 3 year average EBITDA is lower than $18 \%$ with the addition of the retail stores. Notes:

1. Assuming NPF's revenue per 2023 FS remains constant.
2. \$1.6m in 2024 (given), 3800+(3800/2) in 2025, (5000*2)+(5000/2) in 2026.
3. Revenue growth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2025(38,265-34,165) / 34,165 \\
& 2026(45,065-38,265) / 38,265
\end{aligned}
$$

4. EBITDA calculation: 2,558 (operating income from NPF financials) $+2,428$ (depreciation)

Assuming this remains constant to show the effect the retail stores will have.
5. Assuming revenue remains constant in 2024-2026.
6. EBITDA Margin $=($ EBITDA/Sales $) \times 100$
7. See Exhibit 3.2.

## Exhibit 3.4 - Debt-to-Assets Ratio

Purpose: To determine the maximum amount of debt financing to ensure the debt-to-assets ratio will remain under $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ if NPF invests in seafood retail stores (in C\$000's)

| Maximum debt financing |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Equipment Purchase | Per Exhibit 3.1 | 3,000 |
| Leasehold Improvements | Per Exhibit 3.1 | 2,250 |
| NPF Total Assets | Per 2023 FS | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 3 9}$ |
| Consolidated Assets |  | $\mathbf{2 9 , 6 8 9}$ |
|  |  | Note 1 |
| Maximum additional debt to borrow | Per 2023 FS | 6,383 |
| NPF Total Debt |  | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 3 0}$ |
| Total Consolidated Debt | Debt-to-assets ratio | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 1 3}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |


| Debt financing availability: |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Maximum debt | 6,383 |
| Initial investments | 5,250 |
| Excess | 1,133 |

## Conclusion:

To ensure the debt-to-assets ratio does not exceed $60 \%$, NPF can borrow $\$ 6,383$ maximum from its debt financing resources to finance the initial investments of the seafood retail stores. Total debt financing is sufficient for the $\$ 5,250$ total investment.

Note 1
The maximum debt NPF can have $=$ Total consolidated assets $\times 60 \%$ debt-to-assets ratio constraint
Total consolidated assets

| 29,689 |
| ---: |
| $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 1 3}$ |
| 11,430 |
| 6,383 |

Maximum debt NPF can have
NPF total debt per 2023 FS 6,383
Maximum additional debt to borrow
and NPF's current total assets and liabilities will not change for the *Assumption: Initial investments will be expenditured in

## Exhibit 4.1 - Valuation of CBF

| Purpose: To value the equity of CBF using Notes |  | $2023$ | $2022$ | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5,020 | 1,997 | 1,682 |  |
| Depreciation expense | 2 | 1,780 | 1,630 | 1,540 |  |
| Interest expense | 3 | 195 | 206 | 212 |  |
| Abnormal wastage | 4 | 250 |  |  |  |
| Management salaries | 5 | (375) | (350) | (325) |  |
| Employee bonuses | 6 | - |  | 80 |  |
| Charitable donations | 7 | 30 | 20 | 10 |  |
| Normalized EBITDA |  | 6,900 | 3,503 | 3,199 |  |
| Less: Income taxes @ 30\% |  | 2,070 | 1,051 | 960 |  |
| Cash flow from operations |  | 4,830 | 2,452 | 2,239 |  |
| Capital investments (net of tax shield | 8 | $(1,200)$ | $(1,200)$ | $(1,200)$ |  |
| Free cash flow |  | 3,630 | 1,252 | 1,039 |  |
| Average free cash flow over 3 Years |  | 1,974 |  | Conclusion: |  |
| Capitalization multiple | 9 | 6.7 |  |  |  |
| Capitalized discretionary cash flows |  | 13,225 |  | Under Option 1 | g 60\% of |
| PV of UCC tax shield | 10 | 670 |  | CBF would resur |  |
| Enterprise Value |  | 13,895 |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt (fair market value) | 11 | (2,500) |  | acquiring 100 |  |
| Equity value of CBF (100\%) |  | 11,395 |  | $\text { result in a } \$ 3,23$ | nt. |
| Add: NPV of Synergy Cost Savings | 12 | 4,338 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Equity Value |  | 15,733 |  |  |  |
| Option \#1: Purchase 60\% Shares |  |  | Option \#2: Purchase 100\% Shares |  |  |
| Purchase price (Cash upfront) | 7,500 |  | Cash upfr | ont | 7,500 |
| Adjusted Equity Value [A] | 15,733 |  | Note paya | able from David | 5,000 |
| \% Acquiring | 60\% |  | Purchase | price | 12,500 |
| 60\% of Equity Value | 9,440 |  | Adjusted | Equity Value [A] | 15,733 |
| Premium (Discount) | $(1,940)$ |  | Premium | (Discount) | $(3,233)$ |

## Notes

1. Per CBF's operating income summary provided by David Capriola, the sole shareholder of CBF.
2. Depreciation is added back as this is a non-cash item and does not affect free cash flow.
3. Interest expense is added back to calculate EBITDA.
4. Abnormal wastage in 2023 added back as this is not a typical cash outflow for CBF.
5. Add management salaries that are expected to incur doing normal course of operations.
6. Add back one-time bonus paid in 2021. This is not a typical annual cash outflow for CBF.
7. Add back charitable donations, as these are not typical operational expenses related to CBF.
8. Per David, these capital investments are net of any applicable tax shields available to CBF.
9. Per Colan, a multiple of 6.7 x is standard based on discussions with peers within the industry.
10. Per David, this is the PV of CBF's UCC tax shield on existing capital assets.
11. Assume full amount of CBF's long-term loan is interest-bearing. Deduct to obtain Equity Value.
12. NPF can achieve the following estimated annual cost savings due to synergies with CBF:


Note 12.1 A discount rate of $15 \%$ was used to remain conservative as these are rough estimated cost savings with no historical data. This discount rate falls within the reasonable $10-15 \%$ range used to evaluate NPF's other three proposals.

Exhibit 4.2-Key Financial Objectives (CBF)
Purpose: To determine if key financial objectives are met under the CBF proposal (in C\$000's).
Objective 1 - Annual revenue growth of $10 \%$ by 2026

| Purchase 100\% of CBF'S shares |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Notes | 2023 FS | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ |
| NPF Revenue | $\mathbf{1}$ | 32,565 | 35,822 | 39,404 | 43,344 |
| CBF Revenue | $\mathbf{2}$ | 46,295 | 51,850 | 58,072 | 65,041 |
|  | Consolidated Revenue | 78,860 | 87,672 | 97,476 | 108,385 |
| Annual Revenue Growth |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |


| Purchase 60\% of CBF'S shares |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Notes | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ FS | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ |
| NPF Revenue | $\mathbf{1}$ | 32,565 | 35,822 | 39,404 | 43,344 |
| CBF Revenue (60 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 27,777 | 31,110 | 34,843 | 39,025 |
|  | Consolidated Revenue | 60,342 | 66,932 | 74,247 | 82,369 |
| Annual Revenue Growth |  | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |  |

1. Annual growth of $10 \%(\sim 6 \%$ above industry vg). NPF can acquire at least $10+$ of CBF's current suppliers for their shrimp processing if they acquire CBF, which will increase overall revenue. Given that NPF revenues are primarily comprised of Processed Shrimp ( $\sim 63 \%$ of total revenues over the past 3 years), these additional customers will add significant value to NPF.
2. Annual growth of $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ ( $\sim 8 \%$ above industry avg). The snow crab segment is expected to rapidly grow over the upcoming years due to increases in quotas and prices. Therefore, it appears reasonable to use a projected growth rate above the industry average.
Objective 2 - Increase 3 year average EBITDA margin by $18 \%$

|  |  | Notes | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Consol. Revenue (per above) | 87,672 | 97,476 | 108,385 |
| NPF - EBITDA |  | er below | 8,817 | 9,509 | 10,270 |
| CBF-EBITDA P |  | er below | 6,995 | 7,835 | 8,775 |
| Consolidated EBITDA margin \% |  |  | 18\% | 18\% | 18\% |
| 3-year average EBITDA Margin \% |  |  | 18\% |  |  |
| NPF EBITDA | Notes | 2023 FS | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| Operating Income | 3 | 2,558 | 2,814 | 3,095 | 3,405 |
| Depreciation |  | 2,428 | 2,671 | 2,938 | 3,232 |
| EBITDA |  | 4,986 | 5,485 | 6,033 | 6,636 |
| Add: Admin Savings | 4 | 4 - | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Add: Shipping Savings | 4 | 4 - | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Add: Processing Saving | 5 | 5 - | 1,433 | 1,576 | 1,734 |
| Adjusted EBITDA |  | 4,986 | 8,817 | 9,509 | 10,270 |


| Purchase 60\% of CBF'S shares |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Notes | 202 | 202 | 2026 |
|  |  | Consol. Revenue (per above) | 66,932 | 74,247 | 82,369 |
| NPF - EBITDA |  | er below | 8,817 | 9,509 | 10,270 |
| CBF - EBITDA (60\%) P |  | r below | 4,197 | 4,701 | 5,265 |
| Consolidated EBITDA margin \% 3 -year average EBITDA Margin \% |  |  | 19\% | 19\% | 19\% |
|  |  |  | 19\% |  |  |
| CBF EBITDA | Notes | 2023 FS | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| Operating Income | 3 | 5,020 | 5,623 | 6,298 | 7,053 |
| Interest Expense | 3 | 195 | 218 | 245 | 274 |
| Depreciation | 3 | 1,780 | 1,994 | 2,233 | 2,501 |
| EBITDA |  | 6,995 | 7,835 | 8,775 | 9,828 |

3. Assume Operating Income, Depreciation and Interest Expense increase at a constant $\%$ of revenue.
4. Estimated annual synergy cost savings of $\$ 1,500$ Admin Costs $+\$ 400$ in Shipping Costs.
5. Assumption that NPF can save $4 \%$ of total revenue in Shrimp Processing Costs in 2024 due to achieving economies of scale with the increase of additional shrimp processing customers from CBF. Assume these savings increase at a constant $\%$ of revenue.

| Conclusion: | Acquire 100\% | Acquire 60\% |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Key Financial Objectives by 2026 | Met | Met |
|  | 1) Achieve annual revenue growth of 10\% | Met | Met |
|  | 2) Increase 3-year average EBITDA margin to 18\% | Met |  |

Exhibit 4.3 - Debt-to-Assets Ratio
Purpose: To determine impact on the debt-to-assets ratio under the CBF proposal (in C\$000's).
Option \#1 - Purchase 60\% of CBF's shares

| Total value of CBF | Per Exhibit 4.1 | 15,733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60\% of CBF's assets |  | 9,440 |
| NPF Total Assets | Per 2023 Audited FS | 24,439 |
| Total Consolidated Assets |  | 33,879 |
| CBF Long-term debt | Per Exhibit 4.1 | $(2,500)$ |
| 60\% of CBF's debt |  | $(1,500)$ |
| Maximum additional debt to borrow | Note 1 | 10,397 |
| NPF Total Debt | Per 2023 Audited FS | 11,430 |
| Total Consolidated Debt |  | 20,327 |
|  | Debt-to-assets ratio | 60\% |

Total available financing:

| Debt | 10,397 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Equity (Note 3) | 5,000 |
|  | 15,397 |
| Purchase price | 7,500 |
| Excess | 7,897 |

Conclusion:
To ensure the debt-to-assets ratio does not exceed $60 \%$, NPF can borrow up to $\$ 10,397$ maximum from its debt financing resources to finance the acquisition of NP. Total available financing is sufficient for the $\$ 7,500$ purchase price for $60 \%$ of CBF.

## Option \#2 - Purchase 100\% of CBF's Shares

| Total value of CBF | Per Exhibit 4.1 | 15,733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPF Total Assets | Per 2023 Audited FS | 24,439 |
| Total Consolidated Assets |  | 40,172 |
| CBF Long-term debt | Per Exhibit 4.1 - | 2,500 |
| Maximum additional debt to borrow | Note 1 | 10,173 |
| Note payable required to finance acq | quisition Note 2 | 5,000 |
| NPF Total Debt | Per 2023 Audited FS | 11,430 |
| Total Consolidated Debt |  | 24,103 |
|  | Debt-to-assets ratio | 60\% |


| Total available financing: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Debt | 15,173 |
| Equity (Note 3) | 5,000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 1 7 3}$ |
| Purchase price | 12,500 |
| Excess | 7,673 |

## Conclusion:

To ensure the debt-to-assets ratio does not exceed $60 \%$, NPF can borrow up to $\$ 10,173$ maximum from its debt financing resources to finance the acquisition of NPF. Total available financing is sufficient for the $\$ 12,500$ purchase price for $100 \%$ of CBF.

## Notes

1. The maximum debt NPF can have $=$ Total consolidated assets $\times 60 \%$ debt-to-assets ratio constraint

| Option \#1 | Option \#2 |
| :---: | ---: |
| 33,879 | 40,172 |
| $60 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 , 3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 1 0 3}$ |
| $(1,500)$ | $(2,500)$ |
| - | 5,000 |
| 11,430 | 11,430 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 7 3}$ |

*Assumption: CBF's shares will be purchased in 2024, and NPF's current total assets and liabilities will not change.
2. If NPF acquires $100 \%$ of CBF, David is willing to split the purchase price between a $\$ 5,000$ note payable, and $\$ 7,500$ cash upfront.
3. $\$ 5,000$ of equity financing is available to NPF via a preferred shares issuance to Michelle Patterson, Yvonne's sister.

Exhibit 5.1-Pro-Forma Financial Statements
Purpose: To provide NPF's pro-forma financial statements to reflect the acquisition of CBF.
Neptune Point Fisheries Inc.
Statement of profit or loss and comprehensive income
For the years ended December 31 (in C\$'000s)

## Revenues

Frozen-at-sea halibut
Frozen-at-sea shrimp
Processed shrimp
Processed snow crab
Total revenue

## Expenses

Harvesting and procurement
Processing costs
Warehousing \& shipping costs
Selling and administration
Purchase of landed snow crabs
Depreciation
Quota limit reporting costs
Shrimp oil equipment
MSC Certification costs
Foreign exchange gain (loss)
Total expenses
Operating income
Gain on CBF Acquisition Interest expense - New Note payable
Interest expense - New loan
Interest expense - CBF loan
Interest expense - NPF LOC
Interest expense - NPF loan
Income before taxes
Income taxes (34\%)
Net Income
Opening retained earnings
Closing retained earnings


## Income Statement Notes

Pro-forma Income Statement assumes NPF acquires CBF as of July 1, 2024. Any revenues or expenses related to CBF will be pro-rated for 1/2 year in 2024.

## Note 1 - NPF Revenue (Halibut and Shrimp)

Assumption of annual revenue growth rate of 10\% (per discussion under Exhibit 4.2) primarily due to increase in shrimp processing customers from the acquisition of CBF. Assumption that sales from processed shrimp will increase from 66\% of total sales in 2023 to 72\% of NPF's sales from 2024-2028.
\% of Sal \% of Sales
Frozen-at-sea halibut
Frozen-at-sea shrimp

| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Projection | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | 5,015 | 5,517 | 6,068 | 6,675 | 7,342 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | 5,015 | 5,517 | 6,068 | 6,675 | 7,342 |
| $66 \%$ | $72 \%$ | 25,791 | 28,371 | 31,208 | 34,328 | 37,761 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 4 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 6 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 4 4 6}$ | Projected Revenue

## Exhibit 5.1 - Pro-Forma Financial Statements (Continued)

## Note 2 - CBF Revenue (Snow crab)

Assumption of annual growth rate of 12\%. Per discussion in Exhibit 4.2 \& External Analysis, the snow crab segment is expected to rapidly grow over the upcoming years due to increases in quotas and prices.


* Assume NPF can reduce processing costs as a \% of Processed Shrimp Sales by 4\% (from 54\% to 50\%) as a result of synergies via acquiring shrimp processing customers from CBF. Assume CBF's processing costs a remain constant \% of Snow Crab Revenue.

| \% of Sales <br> 2023 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8 \%$ | 2,860 | 3,146 | 3,461 | 3,807 | 4,187 |
| $3 \%$ | 778 | 1,742 | 1,951 | 2,186 | 2,448 |
|  | $(750)$ | $(1,500)$ | $(1,500)$ | $(1,500)$ | $(1,500)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 3 5}$ |

## Warehousing/Shipping costs

Warehouse/Shipping Costs - NPF
Warehouse/Shipping Costs - CBF
Synergy Cost Savings
Total Warehouse/Shipping Costs
\% of Sales
Selling/Admin costs
Selling/Admin - NPF
Selling/Admin - CBF
Synergy Cost Savings
Total Selling/Admin Costs

| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $11 \%$ | 3,872 | 4,259 | 4,685 | 5,154 | 5,669 |
| $10 \%$ | 2,603 | 5,831 | 6,530 | 7,314 | 8,192 |
|  | $(200)$ | $(400)$ | $(400)$ | $(400)$ | $(400)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 , 2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 6 1}$ |

* Assume costs remain a constant \% of respective revenues.

* Assume costs remain a constant \% of respective revenues.



## Exhibit 5.1 - Pro-Forma Financial Statements (Continued)

| Neptune Point Fisheries Inc. Statement of Financial Position as at December 31 (in C\$'000s) AUDITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets | Notes | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1 | 302 | 6,259 | 12,760 | 23,832 | 36,789 | 51,828 |
| Accounts receivable | 2 | 902 | 1,710 | 2,700 | 3,002 | 3,338 | 3,713 |
| Inventories - Seafood | 3 | 486 | 871 | 1,380 | 1,538 | 1,713 | 1,909 |
| Inventories - Supplies | 4 | 325 | 582 | 923 | 1,028 | 1,146 | 1,276 |
| Prepaid expenses | 5 | 495 | 939 | 1,482 | 1,647 | 1,832 | 2,037 |
| Total current assets |  | 2,510 | 10,361 | 19,244 | 31,047 | 44,818 | 60,763 |
| Property, plant, and equipment - net | 7 | 21,389 | 41,269 | 46,189 | 43,555 | 40,168 | 35,947 |
| Intangible assets - fishing rights | 8 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Total assets |  | 24,439 | 52,170 | 65,973 | 75,142 | 85,526 | 97,250 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line of credit | 9 | 1,025 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trade payables and accrued liabilities | 10 | 2,742 | 4,911 | 7,782 | 8,673 | 9,664 | 10,767 |
| Income tax payable | 11 | 95 | 379 | 451 | 503 | 561 | 624 |
| Current portion - term loan payable | 12 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Current Portion - Note Payable | 12 | - | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 4,362 | 6,790 | 9,734 | 10,676 | 11,725 | 12,891 |
| Long-term debt - NPF's term loan | 13 | 2,500 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 500 | - |
| Long term debt - new term loan | 13 |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Note Payable (5 Year) | 13 | - | 4,000 | 3,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | - |
| CBF Loan | 13 |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| Deferred income taxes | 14 | 4,568 | 8,661 | 13,673 | 15,204 | 16,906 | 18,801 |
| Total liabilities |  | 11,430 | 31,452 | 37,907 | 38,880 | 40,131 | 41,692 |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share capital - 20,000 common shares | 15 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Retained earnings | 16 | 12,509 | 20,218 | 27,566 | 35,763 | 44,895 | 55,058 |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 13,009 | 20,718 | 28,066 | 36,263 | 45,395 | 55,558 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity |  | 24,439 | 52,170 | 65,973 | 75,143 | 85,525 | 97,250 |
|  |  | - | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| Debt to Assets Ratio | 17 | 47\% | 60\% | 57\% | 52\% | 47\% | 43\% |
| Maximum Debt to Asset ratio |  |  | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

## Conclusion:

With the acquisition of CBF, NPF's pro-forma income statement appears to be profitable from 2024 to 2028 and NPF will meet their key objectives of $10 \%$ annual revenue growth and a $18 \% 3$-year average EBITDA margin by 2026.

In addition, NPF's pro-forma balance sheet appears favourable, maintaining a Debt-to-Assets Ratio under 60\% from 2024 to 2028.

## Exhibit 5.1 - Pro-Forma Financial Statements (Continued)

| Balance Sheet Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. To determine the Cash Balance at the end of each year 2024-2028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | Notes |
| Net Income | 6,178 | 7,348 | 8,196 | 9,132 | 10,163 |  |
| Add Dep | 3,668 | 5,171 | 5,732 | 6,356 | 7,047 | 1.1 |
| Add: Interest Exp | 718 | 1,205 | 1,095 | 985 | 875 | 1.1 |
| Add: Income Tax Expense | 3,183 | 3,785 | 4,222 | 4,704 | 5,236 | 1.1 |
| Less: Gain on CBF acquisition |  | $(3,233)$ |  |  |  | 1.1 |
| Less: Foreign exchange gain | (906) | $(1,431)$ | $(1,591)$ | $(1,769)$ | $(1,967)$ | 1.1 |
|  | 12,840 | 12,845 | 17,655 | 19,407 | 21,353 |  |
| Changes in working cap |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Receivable | (808) | (990) | (302) | (336) | (374) | 1.2 |
| Inventory | (642) | (849) | (263) | (293) | (326) | 1.2 |
| Prepaid Supplies \& exp | (444) | (543) | (166) | (185) | (205) | 1.2 |
| Trade payables \& Acc liabilities | 2,169 | 2,871 | 890 | 991 | 1,103 | 1.2 |
| Interest Paid | (718) | $(1,205)$ | $(1,095)$ | (985) | (875) | 1.3 |
| Income Tax Paid | 284 | 72 | 52 | 57 | 63 | 1.2 |
| Total Cash flow from Op Act | 12,682 | 12,201 | 16,772 | 18,657 | 20,739 |  |
| Investing Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment in PPE | $(4,200)$ | $(4,200)$ | $(4,200)$ | $(4,200)$ | $(4,200)$ | 1.4 |
| Financing Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line of credit | $(1,025)$ |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Repayment of NPF's Term Loan | (500) | (500) | (500) | (500) | (500) | 1.6 |
| Repayment of Note Payable | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | 1.6 |
| Change in cash | 5,957 | 6,501 | 11,072 | 12,957 | 15,039 | 1.7 |
| Opening Cash Balance | 302 | 6,259 | 12,760 | 23,832 | 36,789 | 1.8 |
| Closing Cash Balance | 6,259 | 12,760 | 23,832 | 36,789 | 51,828 | 1.9 |

## Notes (to calculate cash balance):

1.1 All non-cash expenses have been added back \& unrealized gains deducted from net income.
1.2 AR, inventory, prepaid supplies, trade payables and income tax - based on the change in the respective account in the balance sheet between each year to identify cash in/out flow. 1.3 Interest paid:

Interest paid on new term Loan (\$7.5m)
Interest paid on Note payable ( $\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ )
Interest paid on CBF's loan (\$2.5m)
Interest paid on NPF's loan
Interest on line of credit
Total Interest Paid

| 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 300 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 200 | 320 | 240 | 160 | 80 |
| 98 | 195 | 195 | 195 | 195 |
| 120 | 90 | 60 | 30 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 5}$ |

1.4 NPF would like to invest $\$ 3 \mathrm{~m}$ annually on upgrading and refurbishing its property, plant and equipment and 1.5 Line of credit: Based on the positive cash balance, recommended to pay off line of credit
1.6 The term loan taken by NPF requires $\$ 500$ to be paid annually \& note payable to David requires $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ to be paid annually until paid in full
1.7 Change in cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities
1.8 Opening cash balance is the ending balance of the previous year
1.9 Ending balance is the change in cash flow plus the opening cashing balance for the year.

## Exhibit 5.1 - Pro-Forma Financial Statements (Continued)

## Continuation of Notes to the Balance Sheet

| 2.Accounts Receivable: Based on revenue $(902 / 32565)=2.77 \%$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| Total Revenue |

(Data from the income statement calculated in exhibit 5.1)
Cost of Good Sold (COGS)
Harvesting
Processing
Warehouse and shipping
Purchase of Snow Crabs
Depreciation

| 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9,332 | 8,525 | 9,378 | 10,316 | 11,347 | 12,482 |
| 11,649 | 18,060 | 25,764 | 28,572 | 31,688 | 35,148 |
| 2,600 | 2,888 | 3,388 | 3,912 | 4,492 | 5,135 |
| - | 13,454 | 30,137 | 33,753 | 37,803 | 42,340 |
| 2,428 | 3,668 | 5,171 | 5,732 | 6,356 | 7,047 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 6 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 1 5 2}$ |

3. Inventory - Seafood $-1.87 \%$ of COGS

| 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486 | 871 | 1,380 | 1,538 | 1,713 | 1,909 |
| 325 | 582 | 923 | 1,028 | 1,146 | 1,276 |
| 495 | 939 | 1,482 | 1,647 | 1,832 | 2,037 |

5. Prepaids $-1.52 \%$ of COGS $495 \quad 939 \quad 1,482 \quad 1,647 \quad 1,832 \quad 2,037$
6. Fishing rights - As per IAS38, assuming no impairment therefore value remain the same YOY
7. Line of credit will be paid off in 2024, due to excess cash balance available
8. Trade Payables: $10.54 \%$ of COGS $\quad \begin{array}{lllllll}2,741 & 4,911 & 7,782 & 8,673 & 9,664 & 10,767\end{array}$
9. Income tax payable : Based on a \% of income tax expense of 2023

|  |  | 797 | $(3,162)$ | $(3,764)$ | $(4,202)$ | $(4,683)$ | $(5,215)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income Tax expense (11.92\% of tax payable) | 795 | $(377)$ | $(449)$ | $(501)$ | $(558)$ | $(622)$ |  |
| Income Tax Payable | 95 | $(20)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

12. The term loan taken by NPF requires $\$ 500$ to be paid annually \& note payable to David requires $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ to be paid annually until paid in full
13. NPF's term loan and the note payable require annual principal payments to be made - therefore the balance outstanding will reduce each year. The new loan and the loan assumed from CBF requires principal payment in full at the end of the loan - therefore balance is unchanged.

14. Share capital - no change to the issued shares for NPF
15. Retained Earnings - per income statement Exhibit 5.1
16. Debt to Asset Ratio - Total Liabilities divided by total assets (current and non current)

## Exhibit 6.1 - Shrimp oil byproduct

Purpose: To compare the profit earned by selling shrimp oil and selling waste, and calculate the volume of raw material needed, assuming shrimp oil has same profit as selling waste.

|  |  | Shrimp oil |  | Waste |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes |  |  |  |  |
| Selling price per KG | 1 | \$ | 132.00 | \$ | 0.32 |
| Direct material cost per KG | 2 |  | 5.20 |  | - |
| Direct labour cost per KG | 2 |  | 35.00 |  | - |
| Variable manufacturing cost per KG | 2 |  | 24.20 |  | - |
| Contribution margin per KG |  | \$ | 67.60 | \$ | 0.32 |
| Products volume (KG) | 3 |  | 12,000 |  | 600,000 |
| Contribution margin (\$) |  | \$ | 811,200 | \$ | 192,000 |
| Fixed manufacturing costs (\$) | 2 |  | 75,000 |  | - |
| Other fixed overhead costs (\$) | 2 |  | 120,000 |  | - |
| EBITDA |  | \$ | 616,200 | \$ | 192,000 |
| Depreciation | 4 |  | 160,000 |  |  |
| Income before taxes |  | \$ | 456,200 | \$ | 192,000 |


| Notes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income before taxes - Selling waste |  | \$ | 192,000 |
| Fixed manufacturing costs (\$) | Shrimp oil |  | 75,000 |
| Other fixed overhead costs (\$) | Shrimp oil |  | 120,000 |
| Depreciation | 4 |  | 160,000 |
| Contribution margin (\$) |  | \$ | 547,000 |
| Contribution margin per KG | Shrimp oil |  | 67.60 |
| Products volume (KG) |  |  | 8,092 |
| Extraction rate | 3 |  | 2\% |
| Raw material needed (KG) |  |  | 404,586 |

Conclusion: NPF should adopt the new process of producing \& selling shrimp oil, as it is more profitable than selling waste and would help NPF's key objective of increasing overall EBITDA margin. Producing shrimp oil only requires 404,586 KG (compared to 600,000 KG for waste) to earn the same profit as selling waste.

## Notes:

1 Shrimp oil: It is estimated that NPF can sell shrimp oil in bulk at $\$ 132.00 / \mathrm{kg}$.
Shrimp waste: NPF's current 5 year contract with a fertilizer producer has an agreed price of $\$ 0.32 / \mathrm{kg}$.
2 Estimated costs prepared by Kurt McPherson.
3 Shrimp waste: In 2023, NPF sold $600,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ of shrimp waste under their contract to a fertilizer producer.
Shrimp oil: has a $2 \%$ extraction rate of the raw material, therefore the production volume of shrimp oil is the following:

$$
600,000 \text { KG }
$$

2\% Extraction rate
12,000 KG
4 New equipment - cost
Useful life (years)
640,000
Annual depreciation (straight-line)
160,000

## Exhibit 6.2 - Shrimp Oil Equipment - Lease vs Buy Analysis

Purpose: To calculate the net advantage to leasing the shrimp oil processing equipment (in C\$'000s).

## Notes

| Initial investment | $\$ 640$ | $\$ 15 \mathrm{k}$ delivery costs not included because they are the same under both options |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Annual lease payment after tax | $-\$ 472$ | 1 |  |
| Difference in operating costs | $\$$ | - | None given |
| PV tax shield on CCA for equipment | $-\$ 168$ | 2 |  |
| Salvage proceeds | $-\$ 16$ | 3 |  |
| Tax on recapture related to salvage | $\$$ | 5 | 4 |
| Net advantage to leasing (NAL) | $\mathbf{- \$}$ | 11 |  |

Conclusion: The NAL is negative, which means leasing the equipment is more expensive than purchasing.

## Notes:

1. After tax payment: $\$ 14,850 \times(1-0.30)=\$ 10,395$

* Assuming tax rate is $30 \%$

After-tax cost of debt: $8 \% \times(1-0.3)=5.6 \%$ annually, or $0.47 \%$ monthly $(5.6 \% / 12)$
Calculate PV: BGN mode, P/Y = 12, C/Y = 12, PMT = \$10,395, $\mathrm{N}=48, \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{Y}=5.6 \%, \mathrm{FV}=\$ 30,000 \mathrm{CPT} \mathrm{PV}=-\$ 472,171$
2. $((640000 * 50 \% * 30 \%) /(50 \%+5.6 \%))^{*}((1+0.5 * 5.6 \%) /(1+5.6 \%))=\$ 168,084$
3. PV of salvage proceeds in year 4: $F V=20,000, N=4, I / Y=5.6 \%, C P T P V=-\$ 16,083$
4. Tax on recapture at time of salvage $=\$ 20,000 \times 30 \%=\$ 6,000$

PV of lost tax shield for four years: $\mathrm{FV}=\$ 6,000, \mathrm{~N}=4, \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{Y}=5.6 \%$, CPT PV = $-\$ 4,825$


[^0]:    Conclusion: Both key financial objectives above would be met under this proposal by 2026.

